Fault governing laws (constitutive equations)



<

Fracture Criteria & Constitutive Laws - In full of generality we can express the constitutive (or governing) as: $\tau = \mu(u, v, \Psi, T, H, \lambda_c, h, g, C_e) \sigma_n^{eff}(\sigma_n, \rho_f)$ where: *u* is the slip (i. e. displ. disc.) modulus, 1st – order \mathbf{v} is the slip velocity modulus (its time der.), dependencies = $(\Psi_1, ..., \Psi_N)$ is the state variable vector, Ψ is the temperature (related to ductility, plastic flow, T melting and vaporization), *H* is the humidity, λ_{c} is the characteristic length of surface (accounting for roughness and topography of asperity contacts), *h* is the hardness, g is the gouge (accounting for surface consumption and gouge formation), C_{e} is the chemical environment

Towards real - world conditions

 u_{tot} ~ several m v ~ several m/s $\sigma_n^{eff} = 100 - 200 \text{ MPa}$ Classical laboratory u_{tot} up to 1.4 mmstick – slip experimentsv up to 25 µm/s(Dieterich, 1981) $\sigma_n^{eff} = 10$ MPa





 $v = 0.1 \ \mu m/s - 10 \ m/s$ σ_n^{eff} < 20 MPa

Shimamoto and Tsutumi (2004,

High velocity rotary friction apparatus @ INGV

 u_{tot} = infinite $v = 1 \ \mu m/s - 9 \ m/s$ $\sigma_n^{eff} < 70 \ MPa$





1. LINEAR SLIP – WEAKENING LAW

$$\tau = \begin{cases} \left[\mu_u - (\mu_u - \mu_f) \frac{u}{d_0} \right] \sigma_n^{eff} & , u < d_0 \\ \mu_f \sigma_n^{eff} & , u \ge d_0 \end{cases}$$



Barenblatt (1959a, 1959b), <u>Ida</u> (<u>1972</u>), Andrews (1976a, 1976b), and many authors thereinafter

 d_0 is the characteristic slip – weakening distance

2. NON LINEAR SLIP – WEAKEING LAW WITH SLIP – HARDENING

$$\tau = \left\{ \left[\left(\frac{\tau_0}{\sigma_n^{eff}} - \mu_f \right) \left(1 + \alpha_{OY} \ln \left(1 + \frac{u}{\beta_{OY}} \right) \right) \right] e^{-\frac{u}{d_0}} + \mu_f \right\} \sigma_n^{eff}$$

$$\left| u_h : \frac{\mathrm{d}\tau}{\mathrm{d}u} \right|_{u_h} = 0; \qquad \begin{cases} u_h = rd_0 & (\text{e.g. } r = 0.1) \\ \tau(u_h) = \tau_u \end{cases}$$



<u>Ohnaka and Yamashita (1989)</u> and the following papers by Ohnaka and coworkers

 u_h is associated with the preparatory phase of the imminent macroscopic failure in the cohesive zone. It accounts for micro–cracking

Slip - and Rate - Dependent
Friction Laws

$$\tau = \left\{ \mu^{ss}(v) + \left[F(u)\mu_i - \mu^{ss}(v) \right] e^{\frac{\ln(0.05)u}{d_0}} \right\} \sigma_n^{eff}$$

$$\mu^{ss}(v) = \mu^{ss}(0) e^{-\frac{v}{v_{SS}}}$$
$$F(u) = \alpha_{SS} + (1 - \alpha_{SS}) e^{-\frac{\ln(0.05)u}{u_h}}$$

Sone and Shimamoto (2009)



 u_h controls the duration in slip of the slip – hardening phase, described by the function F(u).

$$\mu^{ss}(0) = 0.55 \pm 0.09$$
 $\mu_i = 0.6$
 $v_{SS} = 0.99 \pm 0.23$ m/s
 $\alpha_{SS} = 1.26 \div 1.54$
 $u_h = 23 \div 160$ mm

Rate - and State - Dependent Friction Laws

1. DIETERICH IN REDUCED FORMULATION

$$\begin{cases} \tau = \left[\begin{array}{c} \mu_* - a \ln \left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{v_*}{v} \\ \end{array} \right) + b \ln \left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{\Psi v_*}{L} \\ \end{array} \right) \right] \sigma_n^{eff} \\ \frac{d}{dt} \Psi = 1 - \frac{\Psi v}{L} \end{cases}$$

However, while in velocity stepping experiments the traction response following the velocity variation is directly controlled by the parameter *L*, its effects are much less evident during the dynamic rupture propagation.

Bizzarri and Cocco (2005)



2. RUINA – DIETERICH (RUINA MODERN FORM.)

$$\begin{cases} \tau = \left[\begin{array}{c} \mu_{*} - a \ln \left(\frac{v_{*}}{v} \right) + b \ln \left(\frac{\Psi v_{*}}{L} \right) \right] \sigma_{n}^{eff} \\ \frac{d}{dt} \Psi = -\frac{\Psi v}{L} \ln \left(\frac{\Psi v}{L} \right) \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

<u>Beeler et al. (1994)</u>, Roy and Marone (1996)

How to relate relevant quantities to contitutive parameters



This slide is empty intentionally.

